

UT-848  
 KEK-TH-624  
 hep-th/9905130

# Spinor Exchange in $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$

Teruhiko Kawano

*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo*  
*Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*  
 kawano@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

and

Kazumi Okuyama

*High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK)*  
*Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*  
 kazumi@post.kek.jp

We explicitly calculate a Witten diagram with general spinor field exchange on  $(d+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean Anti-de Sitter space, which is necessary to evaluate four-point correlation functions with spinor fields when we make use of the AdS/CFT correspondence, especially in supersymmetric cases. We also show that the amplitude can be reduced to a scalar exchange amplitude. We discuss the operator product expansion of the dual conformal field theory by interpreting the short distance expansion of the amplitude according to the AdS/CFT correspondence.

*PACS:* 11.25.Hf, 11.25.Sq, 11.15.-q

*keywords:* AdS/CFT correspondence, Spinor field, 4-point function

## 1. Introduction

Recently it has been conjectured [1] that string/ $M$  theory on  $(d+1)$ -dimensional Anti-de Sitter space  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$  times an Einstein manifold is dual to the large  $N$  limit of  $d$ -dimensional conformal field theory  $\text{CFT}_d$  on the boundary of  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$ . According to this conjecture, the dual of type IIB string theory on  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathbf{S}^5$  is four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 4$   $SU(N)$  supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. In [2,3], a more precise dictionary of the AdS/CFT correspondence was proposed to be that the partition function of the boundary CFT with source terms is equal to the partition function of the string theory on  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$  with fixed boundary values of fields. Since the 't Hooft coupling  $g_{\text{YM}}^2 N$  is proportional to the fourth power of the ratio of the radius of  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$  to the string scale, the string partition function at the leading order in the strong coupling expansion can be estimated by classical type IIB supergravity. In a schematic form, the correspondence can be written [3] as

$$\left\langle \exp \left( \int_{\partial(\text{AdS})} \mathcal{O}_\phi \phi_0 \right) \right\rangle_{\text{CFT}} = \exp \left( - I_{\text{SUGRA}}(\phi_{\text{cl}}) \right) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\phi_{\text{cl}}$  denotes the solution of the equations of motion of the fields satisfying the Dirichlet boundary condition in the supergravity and the boundary value of  $\phi_{\text{cl}}$  is identified with the source  $\phi_0$  up to a conformal factor. This “GKP/W relation” (1.1) has been checked for two- and three-point functions [2–23] and precise agreement between the two sides of (1.1) was shown.

It is interesting and important to check the duality mapping (1.1) for four-point functions [5,24–32]. On the CFT side, the forms of two- and three-point functions are determined by conformal symmetry, but four-point functions are only determined up to a function of the cross ratios, so they contain more information about the dynamics of the theory. Although correlation functions of the four-dimensional strongly coupled CFT have not been understood very well, the GKP/W relation may give us some clues to the problem. In [24,25], the four-point function of operators  $\mathcal{O}_\phi$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_C$  corresponding to dilaton field  $\phi$  and axion field  $C$  was considered. It was found in [25], and in subsequent papers [27, 29–31], that logarithmic terms of the cross ratios appear in various diagrams contributing to scalar four-point functions. In particular, such terms were shown [31] to exist in the four-point function of  $\mathcal{O}_\phi$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_C$  after summing all the contributing diagrams. In [29], it has been argued that the logarithmic terms are due to the mixture of a single-trace operator and double-trace operators in the operator product expansion which correspond to the

exchanged scalar field and the two external scalar fields respectively, and that the double-trace operators in the CFT correspond to two-particle bound states in the supergravity on AdS. Since the new states have not fully been understood, it is important to study whether two-particle bound states contribute to the other correlation functions.

In this paper, as a model, we will consider the Yukawa theory on  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$ : a field theory on  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$  of spinors and scalars with Yukawa interaction. In particular, we will calculate a diagram with spinor exchange in the model. This is a substantial step forward from the calculation of bosonic field exchange diagrams [29–31]. From the GKP/W relation, this diagram on AdS may contribute to four-point correlation functions of two spinor and two scalar operators in CFT. Therefore, our diagram is needed to obtain four-point functions in CFTs with spinor fields, for example, in supersymmetric models. In this paper, we will show that the spinor exchange amplitude can be reduced to the scalar exchange amplitude and its derivative. This allows us to confirm the structure of the spinor indices of the four-point function and explicitly determine the function of cross ratios, which cannot be determined solely from conformal invariance.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, using the Yukawa theory as a model, we will illustrate how the spinor exchange diagram appears as the Witten diagram in the GKP/W relation. In section 3, we will show the calculation of the spinor exchange diagram by relating it to the scalar exchange diagram and present our result explicitly. Section 4 is devoted to discussion about the operator product expansion of the spinor exchange amplitude. In Appendices A and B, the derivations of the bulk-to-bulk propagator and the Witten propagator of spinor fields are explained, respectively. We have also included some useful formulae regarding the propagators, which are indicated in the text.

## 2. Yukawa model on the AdS Space

In this section, we will consider spinor exchange diagrams of four-point correlation functions in a Yukawa model on  $(d + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean AdS space. In this paper, we will use the metric in the Poincaré representation;  $ds^2 = \frac{1}{z_0^2} dz^\mu dz^\mu$ . The corresponding vielbein  $e_\mu^a$  is  $e_\mu^a = \frac{1}{z_0} \delta_\mu^a$ . The Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}$  for spinor fields  $\psi(z)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\psi &= e_a^\mu \Gamma^a \left( \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \omega_\mu^{bc} \Omega_{bc} \right) \psi \\ &= \left( z_0 \Gamma^a \partial_a - \frac{d}{2} \Gamma_0 \right) \psi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\omega_\mu^{bc}$  is the spin connection given by  $\omega_\mu^{ab} = \frac{1}{z_0}(\delta_0^a \delta_\mu^b - \delta_0^b \delta_\mu^a)$ . The gamma matrices  $\Gamma^a$  satisfy  $\{\Gamma^a, \Gamma^b\} = 2\delta^{ab}$ , and  $\Omega_{bc}$  is defined by  $\Omega^{ab} = \frac{1}{4}[\Gamma^a, \Gamma^b]$ .

In the Yukawa model, we have a spinor field  $\psi$  and a scalar field  $\phi$  with Yukawa interaction. The action  $S$  is given by

$$\int_M d^{d+1}x \sqrt{g} \left[ \bar{\psi} (\not{D} - m) \psi + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi + M^2 \phi^2) + \lambda \phi \bar{\psi} \psi \right] + G \int_{\partial M_\epsilon} d^d \vec{x} \sqrt{h} \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\partial M_\epsilon$  denotes a regularized boundary of AdS, *i.e.*  $z_0 = \epsilon$ .  $h_{ij}$  is the induced metric on the surface  $\partial M_\epsilon$ . After the completion of our calculation, we will take the regularization parameter  $\epsilon$  to zero. This prescription has been discussed for spinor fields in [9]. In [8], it was noted that the surface term must be added to the bulk Lagrangian, and the normalization of the term was determined in [11] and [33] to be  $G = 1$ .

Now we will consider the solution of the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} (\not{D} - m) \psi &= \left( z_0 \Gamma^a \partial_a - \frac{d}{2} \Gamma_0 - m \right) \psi = -\lambda \phi \psi, \\ \bar{\psi} (-\overleftarrow{\not{D}} - m) &= \left( z_0 \partial_a \bar{\psi} \Gamma^a - \frac{d}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma_0 + m \bar{\psi} \right) = -\lambda \phi \bar{\psi}, \\ (\Delta - M^2) \phi &= \lambda \bar{\psi} \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

from the action (2.2), where  $\Delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_\mu \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu$ , imposed by the Dirichlet boundary condition on  $z_0 = \epsilon$

$$\psi(z) = \psi_\epsilon(\vec{z}), \quad \bar{\psi}(z) = \bar{\psi}_\epsilon(\vec{z}), \quad \phi(z) = \phi_\epsilon(\vec{z}). \quad (2.4)$$

Recalling the discussion in [9], we can only choose the boundary condition on either of the left- or right-handed ‘chiral’ components of these fields, as we will see below soon. For the free case  $\lambda = 0$ , the solutions  $\psi = \psi_\epsilon^{(0)}$ ,  $\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}_\epsilon^{(0)}$  can be immediately obtained [9] by using the Modified Bessel function  $K_\nu(z)$  [34]

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\epsilon^{(0)}(z) &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{z}} \left( \frac{z_0}{\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \left[ \frac{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(kz_0)}{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(k\epsilon)} - i \frac{\Gamma^i k^i}{k} \frac{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(kz_0)}{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(k\epsilon)} \right] \psi_\epsilon^-(\vec{k}), \\ \bar{\psi}_\epsilon^{(0)}(z) &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{z}} \left( \frac{z_0}{\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \bar{\psi}_\epsilon^+(\vec{k}) \left[ \frac{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(kz_0)}{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(k\epsilon)} + i \frac{\Gamma^i k^i}{k} \frac{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(kz_0)}{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(k\epsilon)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\psi_\epsilon^-$  is the ‘chiral’ component of  $\psi_\epsilon$  which is defined such that  $\Gamma^0 \psi_\epsilon^- = -\psi_\epsilon^-$ . Similarly for  $\bar{\psi}_\epsilon$ ,  $\bar{\psi}_\epsilon^+ \Gamma^0 = \bar{\psi}_\epsilon^+$ . In the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= - \int d^d \vec{x} U(z^\mu - x^\mu) K_{m+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(z, \vec{x}) \psi_0^-(\vec{x}), \\ \bar{\psi}(z) &= \int d^d \vec{x} \bar{\psi}_0^+(\vec{x}) K_{m+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(z, \vec{x}) U(z^\mu - x^\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $U(z - x)$  was introduced to be

$$U(z - x) = \frac{\Gamma^\mu(z^\mu - x^\mu)}{\sqrt{z_0}}. \quad (2.7)$$

$K_{m+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(z, \vec{x})$  is the Witten propagator [3,6] of a scalar field with mass  $m$

$$K_\Delta(z, \vec{x}) = \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}\Gamma(\Delta - \frac{d}{2})} \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + |\vec{z} - \vec{w}|} \right)^\Delta \quad (2.8)$$

with  $\Delta = m + \frac{d}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ . The boundary spinors  $\psi_0^-(\vec{x})$  and  $\bar{\psi}_0^+(\vec{x})$  in the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  were defined such that  $\psi_0^- = \epsilon^{m-\frac{d}{2}}\psi_\epsilon^-$  and  $\bar{\psi}_0^+ = \epsilon^{m-\frac{d}{2}}\bar{\psi}_\epsilon^+$ . Therefore, the Witten propagators  $\Sigma_{m+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}, \bar{\Sigma}_{m+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}$  of the spinor fields can be seen [8,9] to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\Delta(z, \vec{x}) &= U(z^\mu - x^\mu) K_\Delta(z, \vec{x}) \mathcal{P}_-, \\ \bar{\Sigma}_\Delta(z, \vec{x}) &= \mathcal{P}_+ K_\Delta(z, \vec{x}) U(z^\mu - x^\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_\pm$  are the ‘chiral’ projection operators given by  $\mathcal{P}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \Gamma^0)$ .

For the interacting case *i.e.*  $\lambda \neq 0$ , it is convenient to introduce the bulk-to-bulk ‘regularized’ propagator defined by

$$(\not{D} - m) S_\epsilon(z, w) = S_\epsilon(z, w) (-\not{D} - m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta^{d+1}(z - w), \quad (2.10)$$

with the boundary condition

$$\psi_\epsilon^{(0)}(z) = \int d^d \vec{w} \epsilon^{-d} S_\epsilon(z, w)|_{w_0=\epsilon} \Gamma^0 \psi_\epsilon(\vec{w}). \quad (2.11)$$

The explicit form of the bulk-to-bulk propagator  $S_\epsilon(z, w)$  is discussed in Appendix A, although we do not need it in this paper, except when verifying that

$$\bar{\psi}_\epsilon^{(0)}(z) = - \int d^d \vec{w} \epsilon^{-d} \bar{\psi}_\epsilon(\vec{w}) \Gamma^0 S_\epsilon(w, z)|_{w_0=\epsilon}. \quad (2.12)$$

In the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $S_\epsilon(z, w)$  turns out to be

$$S(z, w) = - [\not{D} + \Gamma^0 + m] z_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ G_{\frac{d}{2}+m-\frac{1}{2}}(z, w) \mathcal{P}_- + G_{\frac{d}{2}+m+\frac{1}{2}}(z, w) \mathcal{P}_+ \right] w_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $G_{\frac{d}{2}+m\mp\frac{1}{2}}(z, w)$  is the bulk-to-bulk scalar propagator given [35,36] by

$$G_\Delta(z, w) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(u+1)^\Delta} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\Delta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\Delta+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} F\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta+1}{2}, \nu+1; \frac{1}{(u+1)^2}\right) \quad (2.14)$$

with  $\Delta = \Delta_{\mp} = \frac{d}{2} + m \mp \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\nu = \Delta - \frac{d}{2}$ . The variable  $u$  is the chordal distance;  $u = \frac{(z-w)^2}{2z_0w_0}$ . The scalar propagator satisfies that

$$(-\Delta + m^2)G_{\Delta}(z, w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\delta(z, w). \quad (2.15)$$

The function  $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z)$  is a hypergeometric function[37]. The derivation of the propagator (2.13) is given in Appendix A.

Using the propagator (2.13), the solution of the equations of motion (2.3) is given by a set of recursion relations [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= \psi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) - \lambda \int d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g_w} S_{\epsilon}(z, w) \phi(w) \psi(w), \\ \bar{\psi}(z) &= \bar{\psi}_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) - \lambda \int d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g_w} \bar{\psi}(w) \phi(w) S_{\epsilon}(w, z), \\ \phi(z) &= \int d^d \vec{x} K_{\epsilon}(z, \vec{x}) \phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) - \lambda \int d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g_w} G_{\epsilon}(z, w) \bar{\psi}(w) \psi(w) \\ &= \phi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) - \lambda \int d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g_w} G_{\epsilon}(z, w) \bar{\psi}(w) \psi(w), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $K_{\epsilon}(z, \vec{x})$  is the ‘regularized’ Witten propagator [6,5] of the scalar field.  $G_{\epsilon}(z, w)$  is the ‘regularized’ bulk-to-bulk propagator [5] of it. In this paper, we will not use the explicit form of them. Solving the equation (2.16) recursively and substituting the solution into the action (2.2), we find the bulk action of the spinors vanishing and obtain  $S = S_B + S_F$ , where  $S_B$  gives the two-point function of the scalar field in the boundary CFT and

$$\begin{aligned} S_F &= G \int_{\partial M_{\epsilon}} d^d \vec{x} \sqrt{h} \bar{\psi}(\vec{x}) \psi(\vec{x}) \\ &= G \int_{\partial M_{\epsilon}} d^d \vec{x} \sqrt{h} \bar{\psi}_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(\vec{x}) \psi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(\vec{x}) + 2\lambda G \int_M d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} \bar{\psi}_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) \phi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) \psi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) \\ &\quad - 2\lambda^2 G \int_M d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} \bar{\psi}_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) \psi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) G_{\epsilon}(z, w) \bar{\psi}_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(w) \psi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(w) \\ &\quad - 2\lambda^2 G \int_M d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} \bar{\psi}_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) \phi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(z) S_{\epsilon}(z, w) \phi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(w) \psi_{\epsilon}^{(0)}(w) \\ &\quad + O(\lambda^3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

with  $\sqrt{h} = \epsilon^{-d}$ . Note that the terms included in  $O(\lambda^3)$  have more than four external legs. Here we can see in (2.17) that the first term gives the two-point function of the spinors in the boundary CFT [9,8] and that the second term gives the three-point function with two spinors and a scalar [10]. As in the case for scalar fields [6,5], we should take the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

after the calculation when we evaluate the two-point function [9]. But, since it seems that there is nothing wrong with the exchange of the order for the other multi-point functions, we will take the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  at the beginning of the calculation. The third and fourth term contribute to four-point functions in the boundary CFT. The third term  $S_{\psi\bar{\psi}\psi\bar{\psi}}$  has four legs of the spinor fields and can be easily related to a scalar exchange amplitude with four legs of scalars

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{\psi\bar{\psi}\psi\bar{\psi}} \\
& \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} -2\lambda^2 G \int_M d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} \bar{\psi}_\epsilon^{(0)}(z) \psi_\epsilon^{(0)}(z) G_\epsilon(z, w) \bar{\psi}_\epsilon^{(0)}(w) \psi_\epsilon^{(0)}(w) \\
& = -2\lambda^2 G \int d^d \vec{x}_1 d^d \vec{x}_2 d^d \vec{x}_3 d^d \vec{x}_4 \bar{\psi}_0^+(\vec{x}_1) \vec{x}_{12} \psi_0^-(\vec{x}_2) \bar{\psi}_0^+(\vec{x}_3) \vec{x}_{34} \psi_0^-(\vec{x}_4) \\
& \quad \times I_\Delta(\vec{x}_1, m + \frac{d+1}{2}; \vec{x}_2, m + \frac{d+1}{2}; \vec{x}_3, m + \frac{d+1}{2}; \vec{x}_4, m + \frac{d+1}{2})
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

with  $\vec{x}_{12} = \vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2$  and  $\vec{x}_{34} = \vec{x}_3 - \vec{x}_4$ , where the scalar exchange amplitude  $I_\Delta(\vec{x}_1, \Delta_1; \vec{x}_2, \Delta_2; \vec{x}_3, \Delta_3; \vec{x}_4, \Delta_4)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} \\
& \quad \times K_{\Delta_1}(z, \vec{x}_1) K_{\Delta_2}(z, \vec{x}_2) G_\Delta(z, w) K_{\Delta_3}(z, \vec{x}_3) K_{\Delta_4}(z, \vec{x}_4)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

which has been calculated by Liu [29] and by D'Hoker and Freedman [30] using general values for the conformal dimensions  $\Delta_a$ , but here with  $\Delta_a = m + \frac{d+1}{2}$  for  $a = 1, \dots, 4$ . The fourth term with two spinor- and two scalar-legs

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{\psi\phi\bar{\psi}\phi} \\
& \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} -2\lambda^2 G \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} \phi_\epsilon^{(0)}(z) \bar{\psi}_\epsilon^{(0)}(z) S_\epsilon(z, w) \psi_\epsilon^{(0)}(w) \phi_\epsilon^{(0)}(w) \\
& = 2\lambda^2 G \int d^d \vec{x}_1 d^d \vec{x}_2 d^d \vec{x}_3 d^d \vec{x}_4 \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} \phi_0(\vec{x}_2) K_{\Delta_2}(z, \vec{x}_2) \\
& \quad \times \bar{\psi}_0^+(\vec{x}_1) \bar{\Sigma}_{m+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(z, \vec{x}_1) S(z, w) \Sigma_{m+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(w, \vec{x}_3) \psi_0^-(\vec{x}_3) K_{\Delta_4}(w, \vec{x}_4) \phi_0(\vec{x}_4) \\
& = -2\lambda^2 G \int d^d \vec{x}_1 d^d \vec{x}_2 d^d \vec{x}_3 d^d \vec{x}_4 \phi_0(\vec{x}_2) \bar{\psi}_0^+(\vec{x}_1) A(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4) \psi_0^-(\vec{x}_3) \phi_0(\vec{x}_4)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

remains to be calculated. The evaluation of this term is the main purpose of this paper, and we will show that this term can also be related to the scalar exchange amplitude  $I_\Delta$  in the next section.

### 3. Spinor Exchange Amplitude

In this section, we will evaluate the spinor exchange diagram

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4) \\
&= - \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} K_{\Delta_2}(z, \vec{x}_2) \\
&\quad \times \bar{\Sigma}_{\Delta_1}(z, \vec{x}_1) S(z, w) \Sigma_{\Delta_3}(w, \vec{x}_3) K_{\Delta_4}(w, \vec{x}_4) \\
&= - \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} K_{\Delta_1}(z, \vec{x}_1) K_{\Delta_2}(z, \vec{x}_2) \\
&\quad \times \mathcal{P}_+ U(z - x_1) S(z, w) U(w - x_3) \mathcal{P}_- K_{\Delta_3}(w, \vec{x}_3) K_{\Delta_4}(w, \vec{x}_4)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

with the general value of the weights  $\Delta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ). The translational invariance on the boundary implies that  $A(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4) = A(\vec{x}_{13}, \vec{x}_{23}, 0, \vec{x}_{43})$ . Under the inversion  $z^\mu \rightarrow \hat{z}^\mu = z^\mu / z^2$  and  $\vec{x} \rightarrow \hat{\vec{x}} = \vec{x} / |\vec{x}|^2$ , the integration measure is invariant and

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_{\Delta}(\hat{z}, \hat{\vec{x}}) = |\vec{x}|^{2\Delta} K_{\Delta}(z, \vec{x}), \\
& \bar{\Sigma}_{\Delta}(\hat{z}, \hat{\vec{x}}) = - \frac{\not{\vec{x}}}{|\vec{x}|^{-2\Delta+2}} \mathcal{P}_- K_{\Delta}(z, \vec{x}) U(z - x) \frac{\not{z}}{|z|}, \\
& \Sigma_{\Delta}(\hat{w}, 0) = c_{\Delta} \frac{\not{w}}{|w|} w_0^{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}_-,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

with  $|z| = \sqrt{z^2}$ , where  $c_{\Delta} = \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(\Delta - \frac{d}{2})}$ . Substituting  $\vec{x}_{i3} = \frac{\vec{x}'_{i3}}{|\vec{x}'_{i3}|^2} = \hat{\vec{x}}'_{i3}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 4$ ) into  $A(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4)$  and using the above equations (3.2), we can see that

$$A(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4) = c_{\Delta_3} \frac{\not{\vec{x}}_{13}}{|\vec{x}_{13}|^{2\Delta_1} |\vec{x}_{23}|^{2\Delta_2} |\vec{x}_{43}|^{2\Delta_4}} B(\vec{x}'_{13}, \vec{x}'_{23}, \vec{x}'_{43}) \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) &= \mathcal{P}_- \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} K_{\Delta_2}(z, \vec{x}_2) K_{\Delta_1}(z, \vec{x}_1) \\
&\quad \times U(z - x_1) \frac{\not{z}}{|z|} S(\hat{z}, \hat{w}) \frac{\not{w}}{|w|} w_0^{\Delta_3-\frac{1}{2}} K_{\Delta_4}(w, \vec{x}_4) \mathcal{P}_-.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

As explained in Appendix A,  $S(\hat{z}, \hat{w})$  turns out to be

$$S(\hat{z}, \hat{w}) = \frac{\not{z}}{|z|} (\not{D} + \Gamma^0 - m) \left( \frac{1}{z_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (G_{\Delta_-}(z, w) \mathcal{P}_+ + G_{\Delta_+}(z, w) \mathcal{P}_-) w_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\not{w}}{|w|}, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{d}{2} + m \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . Putting (3.5) into (3.4), performing partial integration, and then using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_{\Delta}(z, \vec{x}) U(z - x) \overleftarrow{\not{D}}_z = - \left( \Delta - \frac{d+1}{2} \right) \Gamma^0 K_{\Delta}(z, \vec{x}) U(z - x), \\
& z_0 \Gamma^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}} K_{\Delta}(z, \vec{x}) = \Delta \Gamma^0 K_{\Delta}(z, \vec{x}) - 2 \left( \Delta - \frac{d}{2} \right) (\not{z} - \not{x}) K_{\Delta+1}(z, \vec{x}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$



we obtain

$$B(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) = (2\Delta_2 - d) J(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) + \left(m + \Delta_{12} - \frac{d}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) I(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) \quad (3.7)$$

with  $\Delta_{12} = \Delta_1 - \Delta_2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} I(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) &= \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} K_{\Delta_2}(z, \vec{x}_2) \\ &\quad \times K_{\Delta_1}(z, \vec{x}_1) G_{\Delta_+}(z, w) w_0^{\Delta_3} K_{\Delta_4}(w, \vec{x}_4), \\ J(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) &= \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g(z)} d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g(w)} \mathcal{P}_- U(z - x_1) U(z - x_2) \mathcal{P}_- \\ &\quad \times K_{\Delta_2+1}(z, \vec{x}_2) K_{\Delta_1}(z, \vec{x}_1) G_{\Delta_+}(z, w) w_0^{\Delta_3} K_{\Delta_4}(w, \vec{x}_4). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_- U(z - x_1) U(z - x_2) \mathcal{P}_- &= \left[ \frac{(z - x_2)^2}{z_0} - \not{x}_{12} \frac{\Gamma^i(z^i - x^i)}{z_0} \right] \mathcal{P}_-, \\ \frac{\Gamma^i(z^i - x^i)}{z_0} K_{\Delta+1}(z, \vec{x}) &= \frac{1}{2(\Delta - \frac{d}{2})} \partial_x K_{\Delta}(z, \vec{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

we find that

$$J(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) = \left( \frac{1}{2\Delta_2 - d} \right) [2\Delta_2 - \not{x}_{12} \partial_2] I(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4). \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, we can obtain

$$B(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) = [-\not{x}_{12} \partial_2 + (\Sigma_{12} + \Delta_+ - d)] I(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) \quad (3.11)$$

with  $\Sigma_{12} = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$ . As seen in [29] and [30], it is obvious that  $I(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4)$  is essentially a scalar exchange amplitude. In this paper, we will follow the method which has been given by Liu [29] to give our amplitude  $B(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4)$  by using the Mellin-Barnes representation of the hypergeometric functions. From the result of the paper [29],  $I(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4)$  can be read to be

$$\begin{aligned} I(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4) &= \frac{C}{2c_{\Delta_3} |\vec{x}_{24}|^{\Sigma - 2\Delta_3}} \int_{\frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2} + i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}) \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Sigma_{34}}{2}) \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Delta_+}{2}) \tilde{I}(s) \\ &\quad \times \eta^{-s + \frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}} F(s - \frac{\Delta_{34}}{2}, s + \frac{\Delta_{12}}{2}, 2s; 1 - \frac{\xi}{\eta}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \frac{1}{4\pi^{\frac{3}{2}d}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\Sigma-d}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\Sigma_{12}+\Delta_+-d}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\Sigma_{34}+\Delta_+-d}{2})}{\Gamma(\Delta_++1-\frac{d}{2})\prod_{i=1}^4\Gamma(\Delta_i-\frac{d}{2})}, \\
\tilde{I}(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\Delta_{12}}{2}+s)\Gamma(-\frac{\Delta_{12}}{2}+s)\Gamma(\frac{\Delta_{34}}{2}+s)\Gamma(-\frac{\Delta_{34}}{2}+s)}{\Gamma(2s)\Gamma(\frac{\Sigma+\Delta_+-d}{2}-s)} \\
&\quad \times {}_3F_2\left(\frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_{12}}{2}, \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_{34}}{2}, \frac{\Delta_+}{2}-s; \Delta_++1-\frac{d}{2}, \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}}{2}-s; 1\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

with  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{ij} = \Sigma_{ij} + \Delta_+ - d$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma + \Delta_+ - d$ . Additionally,  $\Sigma_{ij} = \Delta_i + \Delta_j$ ,  $\Delta_{ij} = \Delta_i - \Delta_j$ , and  $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Delta_i$ . Here  $\eta = \frac{|\vec{x}_{14}|^2}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^2}$  and  $\xi = \frac{|\vec{x}_{24}|^2}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^2}$ . The function  ${}_3F_2(a, b, c; e, f; z)$  is a generalized hypergeometric function [37]. Therefore, putting (3.12) into (3.11), we can immediately calculate  $B(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4)$ . Finally, from this  $B(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_4)$  and (3.3), we obtain

$$A(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4) = \Lambda(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4) \left[ \frac{\vec{\gamma}_{13}}{|\vec{x}_{13}|} A_1(\eta, \xi) + \frac{\vec{\gamma}_{12}}{|\vec{x}_{12}|} \frac{\vec{\gamma}_{24}}{|\vec{x}_{24}|} \frac{\vec{\gamma}_{43}}{|\vec{x}_{43}|} A_2(\eta, \xi) \right], \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4) &= \frac{C}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^{\Sigma_{12}} |\vec{x}_{13}|^{\Delta_{12}-1} |\vec{x}_{23}|^{2\Sigma_{23}-\Sigma} |\vec{x}_{24}|^{\Delta_{43}} |\vec{x}_{34}|^{\Sigma_{34}}}, \\
A_1(\eta, \xi) &= \int_{\frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}+i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}) \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Sigma_{34}}{2}) \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Delta_+}{2}) \tilde{I}(s) \\
&\quad \times \eta^{-s} (s + \frac{\Delta_+ - d}{2}) F(s - \frac{\Delta_{34}}{2}, s + \frac{\Delta_{12}}{2}, 2s; 1 - \frac{\xi}{\eta}), \\
A_2(\eta, \xi) &= \int_{\frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}+i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}) \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Sigma_{34}}{2}) \Gamma(-s + \frac{\Delta_+}{2}) \tilde{I}(s) \\
&\quad \times \eta^{-s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(s - \frac{\Delta_{12}}{2})(s - \frac{\Delta_{34}}{2})}{2s} F(s - \frac{\Delta_{34}}{2}, s + \frac{\Delta_{12}}{2}, 2s + 1; 1 - \frac{\xi}{\eta}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Note that  $\eta = \frac{|\vec{x}_{13}|^2 |\vec{x}_{24}|^2}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^2 |\vec{x}_{34}|^2}$  and that  $\xi = \frac{|\vec{x}_{14}|^2 |\vec{x}_{23}|^2}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^2 |\vec{x}_{34}|^2}$ . This is one of our main results in this paper. As an easy check, we can see that the amplitude  $A(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4)$  consistently transforms under the inversion  $\vec{x} \rightarrow \hat{\vec{x}} = \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|^2}$ , thanks to the structure of the gamma matrices  $\vec{\gamma}_{13}$  and  $\vec{\gamma}_{12} \vec{\gamma}_{24} \vec{\gamma}_{43}$ .

#### 4. Discussion

In this paper, we have shown that the spinor exchange amplitude can be reduced to a scalar exchange amplitude and its derivative. By making use of this fact, we have calculated

the spinor exchange amplitude explicitly. From our final result (3.14) and (3.15), we can see that three series of poles emerge from the three gamma functions, which is similar to the case of scalar fields [29]. as is similar to the case of scalar fields [29]. Therefore, we can easily see that the appearance of the logarithmic terms in the short distance expansion, for example when  $\vec{x}_{12} \rightarrow 0$ , has the same cause as in the scalar exchange diagram. Such terms appear because we have double poles in the integration over  $s$  in (3.15) when the position of the pole from one of the gamma functions coincides with that from another.

Apart from the issue of the logarithmic terms, we would like to discuss the operator product expansion in the CFT, according to the AdS/CFT correspondence. Let us suppose that we are now considering a Yukawa model with three spinor fields  $\psi_1, \psi_3, \psi$  and two scalar fields  $\phi_2, \phi_4$ , which is the same situation as in section 3. The masses of the spinor fields  $\psi_1, \psi_3$ , and  $\psi$  correspond to the weights  $\Delta_1, \Delta_3$ , and  $\Delta_+$  respectively, and the scalar fields  $\phi_2, \phi_4$  to the conformal dimensions  $\Delta_2, \Delta_4$ . The fields interact only through the following Yukawa interactions:  $\lambda\phi_2\bar{\psi}_1\psi$  and  $\lambda\phi_4\bar{\psi}\psi_3$ . In the rest of this section, we will show that the operator product expansions which can be determined from the two- and three-point functions are consistent with at least the first two terms of the short distance expansion of the spinor exchange diagram which we calculated in the previous section, when we take account of only the contribution from the poles  $s = \frac{\Delta_+}{2}$  in the exchange amplitude. For this purpose, let us recall the GKP/W relation (1.1), in our case

$$\left\langle \exp \left( \int_{\partial(AdS)} \bar{\psi}_0^+ \chi + \bar{\chi} \psi_0^- + \mathcal{O}\phi_0 \right) \right\rangle_{\text{CFT}} = \exp \left( - I_{\text{Yukawa}}(\phi_{\text{cl}}) \right). \quad (4.1)$$

As suggested in section 2, the two-point correlation function of the spinors is given [8,9] by

$$\langle \chi_{\Delta}(\vec{x}) \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}(\vec{y}) \rangle = 2c_{\Delta} \frac{\Gamma^i(x^i - y^i)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|^{2\Delta}} \mathcal{P}_-. \quad (4.2)$$

Note that the weight  $\Delta$  was  $\Delta = m + \frac{d+1}{2}$  in section 2. But, here, we do not assume any particular value for the conformal dimension, as we have mentioned above. Similarly, we can find all the non-vanishing three-point functions [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{\Delta_1}(\vec{x}_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}(\vec{x}_2) \bar{\chi}_{\Delta_+}(\vec{x}_3) \rangle &= \lambda \frac{2C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_+} \vec{\gamma}_{13}}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^{\Sigma_{12}-\Delta_+} |\vec{x}_{23}|^{\Delta_+-\Delta_{12}} |\vec{x}_{31}|^{\Delta_++\Delta_{12}}}, \\ \langle \chi_{\Delta_+}(\vec{x}_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_4}(\vec{x}_2) \bar{\chi}_{\Delta_3}(\vec{x}_3) \rangle &= \lambda \frac{2C_{\Delta_+\Delta_4\Delta_3} \vec{\gamma}_{13}}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^{\Delta_+-\Delta_{34}} |\vec{x}_{23}|^{\Sigma_{34}-\Delta_+} |\vec{x}_{31}|^{\Delta_++\Delta_{34}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where the ‘structure constant’  $C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3}$  is

$$C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta_3-d}{2}\right)}{2\pi^d} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Sigma_{12}-\Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Sigma_{23}-\Delta_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Sigma_{31}-\Delta_2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_1 - \frac{d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_2 - \frac{d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta_3 - \frac{d}{2}\right)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that  $C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3}$  is the structure constant of three-point functions of scalar fields with conformal dimensions  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$ , and  $\Delta_3$  [5,6]. From this data (4.2), (4.3), we can determine the operator product expansions of the spinor field and the scalar field in the CFT, which turn out to be

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\Delta_1}(\vec{x}_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}(\vec{x}_2) &\sim \frac{C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta_3}}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^{\Sigma_{12}-\Delta_3}} \chi_{\Delta_3}(\vec{x}_2) + \frac{D_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta_3}}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^{\Sigma_{12}-\Delta_3}} \vec{x}_{12} \cdot \vec{\partial}_2 \chi_{\Delta_3}(\vec{x}_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{S_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta_3}}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^{\Sigma_{12}-\Delta_3}} \vec{\not{x}}_{12} \vec{\partial}_2 \chi_{\Delta_3}(\vec{x}_2) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_4}(\vec{x}_2) \bar{\chi}_{\Delta_3}(\vec{x}_3) &\sim \bar{\chi}_{\Delta_+}(\vec{x}_2) \frac{\bar{C}_{\Delta_4\Delta_3}^{\Delta_+}}{|\vec{x}_{23}|^{\Sigma_{43}-\Delta_+}} + \vec{x}_{23} \cdot \vec{\partial}_2 \bar{\chi}_{\Delta_+}(\vec{x}_2) \frac{\bar{D}_{\Delta_4\Delta_3}^{\Delta_+}}{|\vec{x}_{23}|^{\Sigma_{43}-\Delta_+}} \\ &\quad + \bar{\chi}_{\Delta_+}(\vec{x}_2) \overleftarrow{\vec{\partial}}_2 \vec{\not{x}}_{23} \frac{\bar{S}_{\Delta_4\Delta_3}^{\Delta_+}}{|\vec{x}_{23}|^{\Sigma_{43}-\Delta_+}} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where the coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta_3} &= \bar{C}_{\Delta_2\Delta_1}^{\Delta_3} = \lambda \frac{C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3}}{c_{\Delta_3}}, \\ D_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta_3} &= -\bar{D}_{\Delta_2\Delta_1}^{\Delta_3} = \lambda \frac{\Sigma_{13} - \Delta_2}{2\Delta_3} C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta_3}, \\ S_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta_3} &= -\bar{S}_{\Delta_2\Delta_1}^{\Delta_3} = -\lambda \frac{\Sigma_{23} - \Delta_1}{4\Delta_3(\Delta_3 - \frac{d}{2})} C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

It is convenient for later use to introduce coefficient  $A_\Delta$  defined by  $A_\Delta = \lambda^2 \frac{C_{12\Delta} C_{34\Delta}}{c_\Delta}$ . Now we are ready to consider the four-point function  $\langle \chi_{\Delta_1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2} \bar{\chi}_{\Delta_3} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_4} \rangle$ . By using the operator product expansions (4.5), (4.6), we can obtain the short distance expansion of the four-point function in the limit  $\vec{x}_{12}, \vec{x}_{34} \rightarrow 0$ , for terms lower than the order  $O(\vec{x}_{12}^2)$  or  $O(\vec{x}_{34}^2)$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \chi_{\Delta_1}(\vec{x}_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}(\vec{x}_2) \bar{\chi}_{\Delta_3}(\vec{x}_3) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_4}(\vec{x}_4) \rangle \\ &\sim \frac{A_{\Delta_+}}{|\vec{x}_{12}|^{\Sigma_{12}-\Delta_+} |\vec{x}_{24}|^{2\Delta_+} |\vec{x}_{34}|^{\Sigma_{34}-\Delta_+}} \left[ \vec{\not{x}}_{13} - (\Delta_+ + \Delta_{34}) (\vec{x}_{43} \cdot \vec{x}_{24}) \frac{\vec{\not{x}}_{14}}{|\vec{x}_{24}|^2} \right. \\ &\quad - (\Delta_+ + \Delta_{12}) (\vec{x}_{12} \cdot \vec{x}_{24}) \frac{\vec{\not{x}}_{23}}{|\vec{x}_{24}|^2} - \frac{(\Delta_+ + \Delta_{12})(\Delta_+ + \Delta_{34})}{2\Delta_+} \left\{ \frac{(\vec{x}_{12} \cdot \vec{x}_{43})}{|\vec{x}_{24}|^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 2(\Delta_+ + 1) \frac{(\vec{x}_{12} \cdot \vec{x}_{24})(\vec{x}_{43} \cdot \vec{x}_{24})}{|\vec{x}_{24}|^4} \right\} \vec{\not{x}}_{24} + \frac{(\Delta_+ + \Delta_{21})(\Delta_+ + \Delta_{43})}{4\Delta_+(\Delta_+ - \frac{d}{2})} \frac{\vec{\not{x}}_{12} \vec{\not{x}}_{24} \vec{\not{x}}_{43}}{|\vec{x}_{24}|^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

This result is in complete agreement with the first two terms of the Taylor expansion of the spinor exchange amplitude  $2\lambda^2 A(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \vec{x}_4)$  with respect to  $\vec{x}_{12}, \vec{x}_{34}$ , if we only take the contribution from the pole  $s = \frac{\Delta_+}{2}$  into account and assume that  $\frac{\Delta_+}{2} \notin \frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2} + \mathbf{Z}, \frac{\Delta_{34}}{2} + \mathbf{Z}$ : the condition that we do not have any logarithmic terms. On the other hand, under this condition, we have another contribution from the poles  $s = \frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}, \frac{\Sigma_{34}}{2}$  and the subsequent poles. In the case that  $\frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2} - \frac{\Sigma_{34}}{2} \notin \mathbf{Z}$ , we do not have the logarithmic terms from those poles, either. Then we can see that the contribution from the pole  $s = \frac{\Sigma_{12}}{2}$  does not agree with the short distance expansion of the four-point function which can be obtained by combining two- and three-point functions in the same way as before, even though we do not assume any particular value for  $c_{\Sigma_{12}}$  and  $C_{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Sigma_{12}}$  in the two- and three-point functions, respectively. This discrepancy has been similarly observed in scalar exchange diagrams [29].

If we do not impose any of the above condition on the weights, which is the case in the type IIB SUGRA on  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathbf{S}^5$ , we would have logarithmic terms in the spinor exchange diagram. These terms have been discussed first in [25] and then in [26–31]. Although these logarithmic terms had been expected to cancel each other in a realistic four-point function, the four-point function of scalar fields was calculated in [31] to show that such terms remain even in a realistic correlation function. Therefore it is likely that they also exist in realistic four-point functions with spinor fields.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Eric D’Hoker for discussion and for his encouragement. T.K. was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research in a Priority Area: “Supersymmetry and Unified Theory of Elementary Particles” (#707) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture. K.O. was supported in part through a grant from the JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

### Appendix A. The Bulk-to-Bulk Spinor Propagator

In this appendix, we will give the derivation of the bulk-to-bulk propagator of spinor fields on the Euclidean  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$  space (see [36,38] for the Lorentzian counterpart). In addition, we will explain how the propagator transforms under the inversion, as we mentioned in the text.

Firstly, we seek the solution of the Dirac equation (2.3) with  $\lambda = 0$ , *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} (\not{D} - m) \psi &= \left( z_0 \Gamma^a \partial_a - \frac{d}{2} \Gamma_0 - m \right) \psi = 0, \\ \bar{\psi} (-\not{\overline{D}} - m) &= \left( z_0 \partial_a \bar{\psi} \Gamma^a - \frac{d}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma_0 + m \bar{\psi} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In momentum space, the solution is given by

$$\begin{cases} \psi^{(K)}(z_0, \vec{k}) = \phi^{(K)}(z_0, \vec{k}) a^-(\vec{k}), \\ \psi^{(I)}(z_0, \vec{k}) = \phi^{(I)}(z_0, \vec{k}) b^-(\vec{k}), \\ \bar{\psi}^{(K)}(z_0, \vec{k}) = \bar{a}^-(\vec{k}) \bar{\phi}^{(K)}(z_0, \vec{k}) = \bar{a}^-(\vec{k}) i \frac{\vec{k}}{k} \phi^{(K)}(z_0, -\vec{k}), \\ \bar{\psi}^{(I)}(z_0, \vec{k}) = \bar{b}^-(\vec{k}) \bar{\phi}^{(I)}(z_0, \vec{k}) = -\bar{b}^-(\vec{k}) i \frac{\vec{k}}{k} \phi^{(I)}(z_0, -\vec{k}), \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with  $k = |\vec{k}|$ , where  $a^-(\vec{k})$  and  $b^-(\vec{k})$  are functions of  $\vec{k}$  satisfying that  $\Gamma^0(a^-, b^-) = -(a^-, b^-)$ . Similarly for  $\bar{a}^-(\vec{k})$  and  $\bar{b}^-(\vec{k})$ ,  $(\bar{a}^-, \bar{b}^-) \Gamma^0 = -(\bar{a}^-, \bar{b}^-)$ . Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(K)}(z_0, \vec{k}) &= z_0^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \left[ K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(kz_0) - i \frac{\vec{k}}{k} K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(kz_0) \right], \\ \phi^{(I)}(z_0, \vec{k}) &= z_0^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \left[ I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(kz_0) + i \frac{\vec{k}}{k} I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(kz_0) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where  $K_\nu(z)$  and  $I_\nu(z)$  are modified Bessel functions [34]. For  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ , the modified Bessel functions asymptotically behave [34] as

$$K_\nu(z) \sim \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2z}} e^{-z}, \quad I_\nu(z) \sim \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

on the other hand, for  $z \sim 0$ ,

$$K_\nu(z) \sim 2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu) z^{-\nu}, \quad I_\nu(z) \sim \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^\nu \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The propagator  $S(z, w)$  should satisfy that

$$(\not{D} - m) S(z, w) = S(z, w) (-\not{D} - m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta^{d+1}(z - w) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

with the regularity condition and the boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z_0 \rightarrow \infty} S(z, w) &= \lim_{w_0 \rightarrow \infty} S(z, w) = 0, \\ \lim_{z_0 \rightarrow 0} S(z, w) &= \lim_{w_0 \rightarrow 0} S(z, w) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Since the modified Bessel functions satisfy the relation

$$K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(z)I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(z) + I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(z)K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(z) = \frac{1}{z}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, w) &= \int \frac{d^d \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^d} k e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{z} - \vec{w})} \left[ \theta(z_0 - w_0) \phi^{(K)}(z_0, \vec{k}) \mathcal{P}_- \bar{\phi}^{(I)}(w_0, -\vec{k}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \theta(w_0 - z_0) \phi^{(I)}(z_0, \vec{k}) \mathcal{P}_- \bar{\phi}^{(K)}(w_0, -\vec{k}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$= -z_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \not{D} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^0 + m \right] \left[ G_{\frac{d}{2}+m-\frac{1}{2}}(z, w) \mathcal{P}_- + G_{\frac{d}{2}+m+\frac{1}{2}}(z, w) \mathcal{P}_+ \right] w_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

surely satisfies (A.6) and (A.7), if we use the expression of the bulk-to-bulk propagator [35,36] of scalar fields

$$\begin{aligned} G_\Delta(z, w) &= \int \frac{d^d \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^d} (z_0 w_0)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{z} - \vec{w})} \left[ \theta(z_0 - w_0) K_\nu(k z_0) I_\nu(k w_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \theta(w_0 - z_0) K_\nu(k w_0) I_\nu(k z_0) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

with  $\Delta = \frac{d}{2} + \nu$ , of which another expression can be seen in (2.14) of the text. It will be useful later to differentiate the scalar propagator with respect to  $u$ :

$$\frac{d}{du} G_\Delta(z, w) = G'_\Delta(z, w) = -\frac{\Delta C_\Delta}{(1+u)^{\Delta+1}} F\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1, \frac{\Delta+1}{2}, \nu+1; \frac{1}{(1+u)^2}\right) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

with  $C_\Delta = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\Delta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\Delta+1}{2})}{4\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\nu+1)}$ . By using some of the fifteen relations of Gauss on hypergeometric functions, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} (1+u)G'_\Delta(z, w) - (\Delta-d)G_\Delta(z, w) &= G'_{\Delta-1}(z, w), \\ (1+u)G'_\Delta(z, w) + \Delta G_\Delta(z, w) &= G'_{\Delta+1}(z, w). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

See [39] for the first formula and [40] for the second. From (A.11) and (A.12), an alternative expression of the spinor propagator  $S(z, w)$  can be seen to be

$$S(z, w) = -\left(\frac{1}{z_0 w_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ (\not{z} \mathcal{P}_- - \mathcal{P}_+ \not{w}) G'_{\Delta-}(z, w) + (\not{z} \mathcal{P}_+ - \mathcal{P}_- \not{w}) G'_{\Delta+}(z, w) \right], \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where  $\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{d}{2} + m \pm \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now we proceed to consider the propagator  $S(z, w)$  under the inversion transformation  $z^{\mu} \rightarrow \hat{z}^{\mu} = \frac{z^{\mu}}{z^2}$ . Since  $G'_{\Delta_{\pm}}(z, w)$  is invariant under the inversion (3.2) because of the invariance of the chordal distance  $u$ , it is easy to verify from the expression (A.13) that

$$S(\hat{z}, \hat{w}) = \frac{\not{z}}{|z|} [\not{D} + \Gamma^0 - m] z_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} [G_{\Delta_+}(z, w)\mathcal{P}_- + G_{\Delta_-}(z, w)\mathcal{P}_+] w_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\not{w}}{|w|}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Note that the middle factor in the right-hand side of (A.14) is  $(-1)$  times the propagator (A.13) with mass  $-m$  instead of  $m$ .

Finally, we will give the explicit form of the regularized bulk-to-bulk spinor propagator  $S_{\epsilon}(z, w)$  defined by (2.10) and (2.11) in the text, which satisfies the same differential equation as  $S(z, w)$  but with the boundary condition (2.11). By making use of the solution (A.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\epsilon}(z, w) &= S(z, w) + \int \frac{d^d \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^d} k e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{z} - \vec{w})} \frac{I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(k\epsilon)}{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(k\epsilon)} \phi^{(K)}(z_0, \vec{k}) \mathcal{P}_- \bar{\phi}^{(K)}(w_0, -\vec{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

which can be verified to satisfy the boundary condition (2.11).

## Appendix B. The Boundary-to-Bulk Spinor Propagator

In this appendix, we will review the boundary-to-bulk propagator of spinor fields which has been derived in [5,8]. As in the scalar case [3], one can obtain the boundary-to-bulk spinor propagator by performing the inversion to the solution of the Dirac equation, which turns out to depend only on  $z_0$ . As pointed out in [8], upon the inversion of the solution, we need to perform the local Lorentz transformation to preserve the gauge-fixing condition of the vielbein. Instead of this procedure, we will give an alternative derivation of the boundary-to-bulk propagator. The inversion of the Dirac operator is given by

$$\not{D}(\hat{z}) = -U(z)^{-1} \not{D}(z) U(z) + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_0, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where  $U(z)$  is defined in (2.7). This relation follows from

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mu} U(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mu} U(z) \Gamma_0, \\ U(z)^{-1} \Gamma_{\mu} U(z) &= -J_{\mu\nu}(z) \Gamma_{\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$



where  $J_{\mu\nu}(z) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - 2\frac{z_\mu z_\nu}{z^2}$  is the conformal Jacobian defined by

$$\frac{\partial \hat{z}_\mu}{\partial z_\nu} = \frac{1}{z^2} J_{\mu\nu}(z). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

From (B.1), the Dirac operator in the coordinate  $z$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}(z) - m = -U(z) \left[ \mathcal{D}(\hat{z}) + m - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_0 \right] U(z)^{-1}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Using this relation, we can easily see that

$$\Sigma = U(z) \hat{z}_0^{\frac{d}{2} - m\Gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

satisfies the Dirac equation  $(\mathcal{D}(z) - m)\Sigma = 0$ . Since we impose on the boundary-to-bulk propagator of spinor field  $\Sigma$  the boundary condition that  $\Sigma = 0$  on the boundary  $z_0 = 0$ , it should be projected on  $\Gamma_0 = -1$ .

The boundary-to-bulk propagator can also be obtained as the limit of the bulk-to-bulk propagator with one point approaching the boundary. From (A.13), we can see that

$$S(z; \epsilon, \vec{x}) \rightarrow -\epsilon^{\Delta_+ - \frac{1}{2}} \Sigma_{\Delta_+}(z, \vec{x}) \quad (\text{B.6})$$

in the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Note that a similar property for the scalar propagator is used in [41] to relate the boundary behavior of the bulk field and the expectation value of the corresponding operator in the boundary theory.

## References

- [1] J. Maldacena, “The Large  $N$  Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231-252 (1998), [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge Theory Correlators from Non-Critical String Theory”, *Phys. Lett.* **B428**, 105-114 (1998), [hep-th/9802109](#).
- [3] E. Witten, “Anti de Sitter Space and Holography”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 253-291 (1998), [hep-th/9802150](#).
- [4] I. Ya. Aref’eva and I. V. Volovich, “On Large  $N$  Conformal Theories, Field Theories in Anti-De Sitter Space and Singletons”, [hep-th/9803028](#); “On the Breaking of Conformal Symmetry in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, *Phys. Lett.* **B433**, 49-55 (1998), [hep-th/9804182](#).
- [5] W. Mück and K. S. Viswanathan, “Conformal Field Theory Correlators from Classical Scalar Field Theory on  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$ ”, *Phys. Rev.* **D58**, 041901 (1998), [hep-th/9804035](#).
- [6] D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, “Correlation functions in the  $\text{CFT}_d/\text{AdS}_{d+1}$  correspondence”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B546**, 96-118 (1999), [hep-th/9804058](#).
- [7] G. Chalmers, H. Nastase, K. Schalm and R. Siebelink, “R-Current Correlators in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang-Mills Theory from Anti-de Sitter Supergravity”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B540**, 247-270 (1999), [hep-th/9805105](#).
- [8] M. Henningson and K. Sfetsos, “Spinors and the AdS/CFT correspondence”, *Phys. Lett.* **B431**, 63-68 (1998), [hep-th/9803251](#).
- [9] W. Mück and K. S. Viswanathan, “Conformal Field Theory Correlators from Classical Field Theory on Anti-de Sitter Space II. Vector and Spinor Fields”, *Phys. Rev.* **D58**, 106006 (1998), [hep-th/9805145](#).
- [10] A. M. Ghezelbash, K. Kaviani, P. Parvizi, and A. H. Fatollahi, “Interacting Spinors-Scalars and AdS/CFT Correspondence”, *Phys. Lett.* **B435**, 921 (1998), [hep-th/9805162](#). ■
- [11] C. E. Arutyunov and S. A. Frolov, “On the Origin of Supergravity Boundary Terms in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B544**, 576-589 (1999), [hep-th/9806216](#).
- [12] H. Liu and A. A. Tseytlin, “ $D = 4$  Super Yang-Mills,  $D = 5$  Gauged Supergravity, and  $D = 4$  Conformal Supergravity”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B533**, 88-108 (1998), [hep-th/9804083](#).
- [13] W. Mück and K. S. Viswanathan, “The Graviton in the AdS-CFT correspondence: Solution via the Dirichlet boundary value problem”, [hep-th/9810151](#).
- [14] G. E. Arutyunov and S. A. Frolov, “Three-Point Function of the Stress Energy Tensor in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, [hep-th/9901121](#).
- [15] S. Corley, “The Massless Gravitino and the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, *Phys. Rev.* **D59**, 086003 (1999), [hep-th/9808184](#).
- [16] A. Volovich, “Rarita-Schwinger Field in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, *JHEP* **9809**, 022 (1998), [hep-th/9809009](#).

- [17] A. S. Koshelev and O. A. Rytchkov, “Note on the Massive Rarita-Schwinger Field in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, Phys. Lett. **B450**, 368-376 (1999), [hep-th/9812238](#).
- [18] G. E. Arutyunov and S. A. Frolov, “Antisymmetric Tensor Field on AdS<sub>5</sub>”, Phys. Lett. **B441**, 173-177 (1998), [hep-th/9807046](#).
- [19] W. S. l’Yi, “Generating Functionals of Correlation Functions of P-form Currents in AdS/CFT Correspondence”, [hep-th/9809132](#).
- [20] W. S. l’Yi, “Correlators of Currents Corresponding to the Massive P-form Currents in AdS/CFT Correspondence”, Phys. Lett. **B448**, 218-226 (1999), [hep-th/9811097](#).
- [21] A. Polishchuk, “Massive Symmetric Tensor Field on AdS”, [hep-th/9905048](#).
- [22] E. D’Hoker, D. Z. Freedman, W. Skiba, “Field Theory Tests for Correlators in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 045008 (1999), [hep-th/9807098](#).
- [23] S. Lee, S. Minwalla, M. Rangamani and N. Seiberg, “Three-Point Functions of Chiral Operators in  $D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM at Large  $N$ ”, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 697-718 (1998), [hep-th/9806074](#).
- [24] H. Liu and A. A. Tseytlin, “On Four-Point Functions in the CFT/AdS Correspondence”, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 086002 (1999), [hep-th/9807097](#).
- [25] D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, “Comments on 4-point Functions in the CFT/AdS Correspondence”, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 086002 (1999), [hep-th/9808006](#).
- [26] J. H. Brodie and M. Gutperle, “String Correction to Four Point Functions in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, Phys. Lett. **B445**, 296-306 (1999), [hep-th/9809067](#).
- [27] E. D’Hoker and D. Z. Freedman, “Gauge Boson Exchange in AdS <sub>$d+1$</sub> ”, Nucl. Phys. **B544**, 612-632 (1999), [hep-th/9809179](#).
- [28] G. Chalmers and K. Schalm, “The Large  $N_c$  Limit of Four-Point Functions in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SuperYang-Mills Theory from Anti-de Sitter Supergravity”, [hep-th/9810051](#); “Holographic Normal Ordering and Multi-Particle States in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, [hep-th/9901144](#).
- [29] H. Liu, “Scattering in Anti-de Sitter Space and Operator Product Expansion”, [hep-th/9811152](#).
- [30] E. D’Hoker and D. Z. Freedman, “General Scalar Exchange in AdS <sub>$d+1$</sub> ”, [hep-th/9811257](#).
- [31] E. D’Hoker, D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, “Graviton and Gauge Boson Propagators in AdS <sub>$d+1$</sub> ”, [hep-th/9902042](#); “Graviton Exchange and Complete 4-Point Functions in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, [hep-th/9903196](#).
- [32] E. D’Hoker, D. Z. Freedman and L. Rastelli, “AdS/CFT 4-Point Functions: How to Succeed at  $z$  Integrals without Really Trying”, [hep-th/9905049](#).
- [33] M. Henneaux, “Boundary Terms in the AdS/CFT Correspondence for Spinor Fields”, [hep-th/9902137](#).

- [34] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik; A. Jeffrey ed., “Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (5th ed.)”, (Academic Press, 1994).
- [35] C. Fronsdal, “Elementary Particles in a Curved Space II”, *Phys. Rev.* **D10**, 589 (1974);  
C. P. Burgess and C. A. Lutken, “Propagators and Effective Potentials in Anti-de Sitter Space”, *Phys. Lett.* **153B**, 137 (1985);  
T. Inami and H. Ooguri, “One Loop Effective Potential in Anti-de Sitter Space”, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **73**, 1051 (1985).
- [36] C. J. Burges, D. Z. Freedman, S. Davis, and G. W. Gibbons, “Supersymmetry in Anti-de Sitter Space”, *Ann. Phys.* **167**, 285 (1986).
- [37] A. Erdélyi, “Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. 1”, *Bateman Manuscript Project*, (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1953).
- [38] B. Allen and C. A. Lutken, “Spinor Two-Point Functions in Maximally Symmetric Spaces”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **106**, 201-210 (1986) .
- [39] reference [37], page 103, (35).
- [40] reference [37], page 102, (21) and (20).
- [41] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, “AdS/CFT Correspondence and Symmetry Breaking”, [hep-th/9905104](#).